



2661
TZ

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Qiang Cao
Seau Sian Lim
Jens Mueckenheim

CASE 16-4-3

Serial No. 09/936103

Group Art Unit 2661

Filed February 28, 2000

Examiner J. H. Park

Title Communicating Code Branch Allocation For CDMA Systems

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. BOX 1450

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450


SIR:

Enclosed is an amendment in the above-identified application.

NO ADDITIONAL FEE REQUIRED


In the event of non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit **Deposit Account No. 12-2325** as required to correct the error.


Respectfully,


Julio A. Garceran, Attorney
Reg. No. 37138
908-582-7294

Date: 8/17/05

Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)
Lucent Technologies Inc.
101 Crawfords Corner Road
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030

Date of Deposit <u>August 17, 2005</u>	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop <u>Amendment</u> , Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated above.	
Catherine F. Dugan Printed name of person mailing paper	 Signature of person mailing paper



**IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Patent Application

Inventor(s)	Qiang Cao Seau Sian Lim Jens Mueckenheim	
Case	16-4-3	
Serial No.	09/936,103	Group Art 2661
File Date	September 07, 2001	
Examiner	Park, Jung H.	
Title	Communicating Code Branch Allocation For CDMA Systems	

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
WASHINGTON, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

AMENDMENT

In response to the Office Action dated May 17, 2005, please consider the following remarks on the above-identified patent application as follows.

Introductory Comments:

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings. The Examiner objected to the specification for not having an abstract of the disclosure and for some informalities. The Examiner rejected claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. section 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,233,231 to Felix et al. ("Felix"). The Examiner rejected claims 3-5 under 35 U.S.C. section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Felix.